IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

| F | | i en | ED | | | |
|-----------------------|---|------|----|------|-------|---|
| 2006 DEC | ١ | 1 | P | 4 1: | 04 | |
| CLERK US WESTERN E | C | 57 | 1 | | TEX A | S |

| TIERNEY MCCRACKEN, | § | |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------|
| Plaintiff | § | A06CA970 |
| | § | AUOUA 77 U |
| V. | § | CAUSE NO |
| | § | • |
| MICHAEL M. BARRON AND | § | |
| BARRON, ADLER & ANDERSON, L.L.P., | § | |
| Defendants | 8 | |

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Tierney McCracken (hereinafter referred to as "McCracken") is an individual residing in Winchester, Virginia, and a citizen of Virginia.
- 2. Defendant Michael M. Barron (hereinafter referred to as "Barron") is an individual residing in Austin, Texas and a citizen of the State of Texas. Defendant Barron may be served with process personally pursuant to Rule 4(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure at 808 Nueces Street, Austin, Texas 78701.
- 3. Barron, Adler & Anderson, L.L.P. (hereinafter referred to as "Barron, Adler & Anderson") is a limited liability partnership, consisting of Michael M. Barron, Stephen Adler and Daniel Anderson. Michael Barron, Stephen Adler and Daniel Anderson reside in Austin, Texas, and are citizens of the State of Texas. Defendant Barron, Adler & Anderson, L.L.P. may be served, pursuant to Rule 4(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, by serving Michael M. Barron, its managing partner and authorized agent for service of process, at 808 Nueces Street, Austin, Texas 78701.

JURISDICTION

4. There is complete diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff and all Defendants in this matter. As will be more fully explained below, the amount in dispute in this action, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$75,000.00. Therefore, this court has jurisdiction over this dispute by virtue of 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

FACTS

- 5. Defendant Barron is one of four children of William Cannon Barron (hereinafter referred as "WCB"). Prior to his death, WCB owned significant amounts of personal property, including but not limited to cattle, stocks, and cash, and real property, which included surface and subsurface mineral rights. WCB created a trust, which was named the William Cannon Barron Trust (hereinafter referred to as "WCB Trust"), which included the real property he owned.
- 6. The WCB Trust was created in May of 1974, to which William Cannon Barron conveyed one-half of his estate. The original trustee of the trust was Isabel Barron. The original beneficiaries of the trust were Isabel Barron (WCB's wife), and his four children: Michael Barron (a current Defendant); David Barron (Plaintiff McCracken's father); Barbara Barron Stewart; and William Calvin Barron. WCB died in 1979; his son David Barron (Plaintiff McCracken's father) died in July of 1985; and Isabel Barron (WCB's wife) died in 1986. Upon Isabel Barron's death, Defendant Barron became the trustee of the WCB Trust.
- 7. Plaintiff McCracken and her brother, Matthew Barron, were the children of David Barron.

 David Barron created a trust named the David Barron Family Trust, and Plaintiff McCracken and her brother were named as beneficiaries. Defendant Michael Barron was named the trustee of the

David Barron Family Trust. The property of the David Barron Family Trust includes proceeds from the WCB Trust, along with David Barron's real and personal property.

- 8. Despite the fact that Plaintiff McCracken owns an interest in and is otherwise entitled to her share of the proceeds of the David Barron Family Trust, Defendant Michael Barron, as trustee, has not properly advised Plaintiff McCracken of the existence of the contents, property, funds and/or income of said trust, and has not received full and adequate accountings of the transactions of both trusts. As a consequence, Plaintiff has been deprived of information she requires in order to have full knowledge of her property interest or rights under the trusts defined above. Defendant Michael Barron has failed, and continues to fail, to provide Plaintiff McCracken with this information.
- 9. Plaintiff McCracken has not received a full accounting of the trust funds to which she is a beneficiary, nor full disclosure of trust activities, as she is entitled under Texas law. Further, trust assets have been mishandled and mismanaged by Defendant Barron, and Defendant Barron has misrepresented the interests held by the trust and the manner in which they have been disposed. Plaintiff McCracken has not been allocated her fair and rightful share of said trust funds.
- 10. Defendant Michael Barron has also (1) misrepresented the terms of the sale of trust property; (2) misrepresented whether trust property has been sold at all; (3) has continually performed his functions as trustee under a false trust document; and (4) has provided Plaintiff McCracken with false closing documents for the sale of trust property.
- 11. Additionally, Defendant Michael Barron acted with a conflict of interest towards Plaintiff McCracken. Defendant Michael Barron was the executor of Isabel Barron's estate at the same time he acted as trustee of the David Barron Family Trust. As executor of his mother's trust, Defendant

Michael Barron mischaracterized property owned by David Barron as belonging to Isabel Barron.

The mischaracterized property was therefore distributed to Isabel Barron's children, which included Defendant Michael Barron, creating a conflict of interest. By acting in this manner, Defendant Michael Barron breached the fiduciary duty he owed to Plaintiff McCracken.

- 12. With respect to the David Barron Family Trust, Defendant Barron has breached the fiduciary duty he owes to Plaintiff McCracken in the following ways:
 - a. Defendant Barron has breached the duty of good faith, fair dealing loyalty, and fidelity over the trust's affairs and its principal;
 - b. Defendant Barron has breached his duty to make the assets of the trust productive while at the same time preserving the assets;
 - c. Defendant Barron has breached his duty to disclose all material facts known to the trustee that could affect Plaintiff McCracken's rights;
 - d. Defendant Barron has breached his duty to account to Plaintiff McCracken for all trust transactions;
 - e. Defendant Barron has breached his duty to properly manage, supervise and safeguard trust funds;
 - f. Defendant Barron has breached his duty to refrain from self-dealing with trust assets; and
 - g. Defendant Barron has breached his duty to refrain from lending trust funds to himself, to an affiliate of Defendant Barron, to Defendant Barron's employer, or to a director, officer, or employee of Defendant Barron.
- 13. Additionally, Plaintiff McCracken is also a partner in a partnership operating under the name Barron Mineral Partnership (hereinafter referred to as "BMP). Plaintiff McCracken acquired her interest in this partnership pursuant to the terms of the partnership agreement regarding the death of the partners. Upon the death of David Barron, Plaintiff McCracken acquired a partnership interest.

- 14. Defendant Barron is the "managing partner" of the BMP, and as such, he owes a fiduciary duty to Plaintiff McCracken as one of its partners. The partnership agreement contains the following provisions:
 - a. The term of the partnership shall continue until terminated by all of the partners (Article IV);
 - b. The partnership shall maintain a General Ledger reporting income on a cash basis using a calendar year (Article V, 5.1);
 - c. A financial statement shall be prepared not less frequently than annually, and that copies of all income tax returns shall be provided to each partner (Art. V, 5.2);
 - d. The initial capital contribution made by each of its partners shall be one-half of the mineral interests in the described properties, and that the initial contribution of each partner is deemed to be equal(Art. VI, 6.1);
 - e. Income distributions shall be distributed in proportion with each partner's then existing capital account balances (Art. VII); and
 - f. Defendant is appointed the "Managing Partner" of BMP with broad powers to sell, lease or convey partnership property (Art. IX, 9.1).
- 15. According to the partnership agreement, Defendant Barron must provide at least a yearly accounting through "annual statements" to the partners. Plaintiff McCracken has never received an accounting of partnership activities. The failure of Defendant Barron to provide an accounting is a breach of his fiduciary duty to Plaintiff McCracken.
- 16. Further, the partnership agreement requires Defendant Barron to provide all partners with copies of all filed income tax returns. Despite this duty, Defendant Barron has failed to provide Plaintiff McCracken with any income tax returns filed on behalf of the partnership. Without access to this information, Plaintiff McCracken cannot determine her gains, losses, or income due to her from the partnership. By his conduct, Defendant Barron has breached the fiduciary duty he owes to

Plaintiff McCracken.

- 17. The partnership agreement also requires Defendant Barron, as managing partner, to distribute income in proportion to each partner's share in the partnership. However, Plaintiff McCracken has not received any distributions of income from the partnership. By this conduct, Defendant Barron has breached the fiduciary duty he owes to Plaintiff McCracken.
- 18. With respect to the BMP, Defendant Barron has breached the fiduciary duty he owes Plaintiff
 McCracken in the following ways:
 - a. Defendant Barron has breached his duty of loyalty to the joint concern;
 - b. Defendant Barron has beached his duty to act in the utmost good faith, fairness, and honesty in dealings with Plaintiff McCracken on matters pertaining to the BMP;
 - c. Defendant Barron has breached his duty to provide full disclosure of all matters affecting the BMP;
 - d. Defendant Barron has breached his duty to account for all partnership profits and property; and
 - e. Defendant Barron has breached his duty to refrain from competition with the partnership.
- 19. Defendant Barron has acted as trustee through and under the auspices of Defendant Barron, Adler & Anderson, L.L.P., and the services rendered pursuant to and in connection with said trust have been rendered all, or in part, by said Defendant.
- 20. Plaintiff McCracken seeks recovery in this action for breach of fiduciary duty on behalf of all Defendants, negligence on behalf of all Defendants, and for a full and complete accounting by Defendant Barron.

LIMITATIONS

21. Defendant Barron's numerous breaches of his fiduciary duty to Plaintiff McCracken are constant and continuous. Defendant Barron continues to fail or refuse to provide Plaintiff McCracken with an accounting or full disclosure of trust and partnership activities, income, tax returns, income distributions, proceeds and/or funds as provided by Texas law and the trust and partnership documents described herein. Therefore, the continuing tort doctrine applies to this cause and limitations periods are reset as of the date of Defendants' last breach, which breaches continue to the date of filing of the complaint.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff prays judgment as follows:

- For judgment against the Defendants, and each of them, for breach of fiduciary duty with respect to their handling of the various trusts described above, and for any other interests owned by Plaintiff McCracken as a result of those trusts.
- Judgment against all Defendants for breach of fiduciary duty with respect to the Barron Mineral Partnership described above.
- 3. Judgment for all of Plaintiff McCracken's actual damages, as well as mental anguish, costs of court, pre-judgment interest and post judgment interest.
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS THAT THE CLAIMS SET OUT HEREIN BE TRIED BY A JURY.

Respectfully submitted,

GARY F. DESHAZO & ASSOCIATES 823 Congress Avenue, Suite 1550 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 476-3800

Facsimile: (512) 476-0435

By:

Gary F. DeShazo

Tekas State Bar No. 05776500

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

AMOUNT

RECEIPT #

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

| • | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------------|--|---|--|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | _ | | | DEFENDANTS | Michael | Barron and |
| Tierney Mc Cracken | | | | Barron + | | derson, LLP |
| (b) County of Residence of | | Vinchester City, VA | 4 | | f First Listed Defendant | Travis County, TX |
| • • | EPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS | SES) | | Í | (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES | ONLY) |
| | | | | } | O CONDEMNATION CASES, UNVOLVED. | USE THE LOCATION OF THE |
| (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, A | ddress, and Telephone Number |) . | | Attorneys (If Known) | A060 | A970 LY |
| Gary F. DeShazo, Gary F. DeS Austin, TX 78701 (Telephone | • | | 0, | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDIC | CTION (Place an "X" in | One Box Only) | | | RINCIPAL PARTIES | S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff |
| J 1 U.S. Government | 3 Federal Question | | | | rf děl | and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF |
| Plaintiff | (U.S. Government l | Not a Party) | Citiz | en of This State | 1 Incorporated or F of Business In Th | |
| J 2 U.S. Government Defendant | 4 Diversity | p of Parties in Item III) | Citiz | en of Another State | 2 | Principal Place |
| | (Indicate Citizensiii | p or raines in term my | | en or Subject of a reign Country | 3 | □ 6 □ 6 |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | (Place an "X" in One Box Onl | y) | | leigh Country | | |
| CONTRACT | TOF | | | FEITURE/PENALTY | BANKRUPTCY | OTHER STATUTES |
| 1 - | PERSONAL INJURY 3 310 Airplane 3 315 Airplane Product Liability | PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - | 0 6 | 10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 | ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 | ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce |
| ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment □ | 3 320 Assault, Libel & | Product Liability | □ 6 | 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck | PROPERTY RIGHTS | 460 Deportation |
| & Enforcement of Judgment | Slander 330 Federal Employers' | 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product | | 550 Airline Regs. | 820 Copyrights 830 Patent | ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations |
| 152 Recovery of Defaulted | Liability 340 Marine | Liability PERSONAL PROPER | | 660 Occupational Safety/Health | ☐ 840 Trademark | ☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV |
| *************************************** | 345 Marine Product | 370 Other Fraud | □ 6 | 90 Other | | ■ 810 Selective Service |
| 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits | Liability 350 Motor Vehicle | ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal | | LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards | SOCIAL SECURITY B61 HIA (1395ff) | 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange |
| ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits | 355 Motor Vehicle | Property Damage | | Act | ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) | ☐ 875 Customer Challenge |
| 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability | Product Liability 360 Other Personal | 385 Property Damage Product Liability | | 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting | ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))☐ 864 SSID Title XVI | 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions |
| ☐ 196 Franchise | Injury | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | & Disclosure Act | ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) | ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts |
| REAL PROPERTY 2 210 Land Condemnation | CIVIL RIGHTS J 441 Voting | PRISONER PETITION 510 Motions to Vacat | | 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation | FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff | 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters |
| ☐ 220 Foreclosure | J 442 Employment | Sentence | | 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. | or Defendant) | ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act |
| 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land | 3 443 Housing/ Accommodations | Habeas Corpus: 530 General | | Security Act | 26 USC 7609 | 895 Freedom of Information Act |
| 245 Tort Product Liability | 3 444 Welfare | 535 Death Penalty | | | | 900Appeal of Fee Determination |
| | 3 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment | ☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth☐ 550 Civil Rights | ner | | | Under Equal Access to Justice |
| | 3 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - | ☐ 555 Prison Condition | | | | ☐ 950 Constitutionality of |
| i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | Other 3 440 Other Civil Rights | | | | | State Statutes |
| ` | "X" in One Box Only) | | : | Trans | ferred from | Appeal to District Judge from |
| | e Court | Appellate Court | Reo | stated or another anot | er district | trict Magistrate n Judgment |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION | Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 28 U.S.C. Sect. 1 Brief description of ca | | re filing (Fiduciar | Do not cite jurisdictions y Duty/Fraud | al statutes unless diversity): | + |
| | Breach of fiducia | ry duty/fraud | | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P. | IS A CLASS ACTION 23 | D | EMAND \$ | CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND | y if demanded in complaint: D: ∭XYes ☐ No |
| VIII. RELATED CASE(IF ANY | (See instructions): | JUDGE | 4 | | DOCKET NUMBER | |
| DATE | | SIGNATURE OF AT | TOPNEY | OF RECORD | | |
| 12-11-01 | | | / | | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | the state of the s | / (| | | | |

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

APPLYING IFP

| | AO82 | ORI | IGINAL | 404197 | | |
|---|---|--|------------------|------------|--|--|
| 7 | (Rev. 4/90) RECEIPT FOR PAYMENT UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | | |
| À | | | the | ١ . | | |
| | | WESTERN DIST | RICT OF TEXAS | 1, sti | | |
| 7 | | | at | turn | | |
| 4 | RECEIVED | FROM Gary D | 1 Shario | *ADDRC | | |
| | | 8230Con | guss # | 1550 | | |
| | | Austin | TX 787 | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | ACCOUNT | AMOUNT | | |
| | Fund | December 5 and | 69/900 | 100 | | |
| | 6855XX 604700 | Deposit Funds Registry Funds | 000100 | 6000 | | |
| | 004700 | General and Special Funds | 570000 | 1900 | | |
| | 508800 | Immigration Fees | | 1000 | | |
| | 085000 | Attorney Admission Fees | 086400 | 10000 | | |
| | 086900 | Filing Fees | TOTAL | 340 00 | | |
| | 322340 | Sale of Publications | TOTAL | 350.00 | | |
| | 322350 | Copy Fees | Case Number or 0 | | | |
| | 322360 | Miscellaneous Fees | 1:06-0 | 11-470 | | |
| | 143500 | Interest | | <u>v</u> | | |
| | 322380 | Recoveries of Court Costs | New (| 2000 | | |
| | 322386 121000 | Restitution to U.S. Government Conscience Fund | Men | 0020 | | |
| | 129900 | Gifte | | | | |
| | 504100 | Crime Victims Fund | Cracken | 1.1 | | |
| | 613300 | Unclaimed Monies | Cracican | V. | | |
| | 510000 | Civil Filing Fee (½) | | | | |
| | 510100 | Registry Fee | LADADA | | | |
| \$ | | | JUULGIL | <i>/</i> · | | |
| • | \$Checks and drafts are accepted subject to col- | | | | | |
| | lection and full credit will only be given when the check or draft has been accepted by the financial | | | | | |
| institution on which it was drawn. | | | | | | |
| DATE Cash Check M.O. Credit DEPUTY CLERK: | | | | | | |
| 12-11 20 6 X | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |